UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,203	07/18/2006	Thomas Daniel	29827/42183	4892
	7590 06/04/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
	ACKER DRIVE	NGUYEN, VU ANH		
CHICAGO, IL	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/586,203	DANIEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vu Nguyen	1796		

			1
	Vu Nguyen	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be assigned thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	nally set in the final Office of the final rejection, ending the final rejection, ending the filed within two months avoid dismissal of the	te action; or (2) as ven if timely filed, so of the date of
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e.	kplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/David Wu/	/Vu Nguyen/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	Examiner, Art Unit 1796		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Office action dated 02/10/2009 and because the arguments filed 05/29/2009 are not persuasive. Specifically, the applicant presents lengthy arguments tending to show that the prior art monomer solution is, allegedly, not supersatuarted. The examiner has provided ample reasons to show that, according to the applicant's own description of a supersaturated monomer solution in the specification, the prior art monomer solution is inherently supersaturated. The applicant also states that a concentration of 35-40 wt% of partially neutralized acrylic acid in the prior art reference is standard in the art and that such concentration is not a supersaturated concentration (p. 8, 4th paragraph). However, in the working examples in the instant application, the applicant discloses supersaturated solution of partialy neutralized acrylic acid at a concentration of 40.68 wt% (See examples 1 and 2).